



An Independent Assessment of the **Hillside Copper Mine**
Proposal (Mining Lease Proposal & Management Plan) by Rex
Minerals Ltd with a Focus on Marine Impacts

Associate Professor Jochen Kaempf

School of the Environment

Flinders University, PO Box 2100, Adelaide, SA 5001

This work is dedicated to those who care about environmental protection

DRAFT NOT FOR CIRCULATION

Disclaimer

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of Flinders University. The author has not received any external payments for preparing this document.

Copyright

The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of the author. Use or copying of the document or in part is permitted but requires a full citation:

Kaempf, J. (2013) An Independent Assessment of the Hillside Copper Mine Proposal (Mining Lease Proposal & Management Plan) by Rex Minerals Ltd with a Focus on Marine Impacts. Report, 5 pages. School of the Environment, Flinders University.

1. Overview

The upper reaches of Gulf St. Vincent accommodate an ecologically valuable marine and wetland habitat in a region of extremely slow oceanic flushing (see Kämpf, 2014). A marine park has been recently established in upper Gulf St. Vincent for protection of this significant region.

Rex Minerals Ltd (henceforth referred to as “proponent”) propose to build South Australia’s second largest open-pit mine for the production of 335 Kt/annum of copper/gold concentrate and 1.2 Mt/annum of magnetite concentrate for a period exceeding 15 years. This large mine is proposed to be located in the upper Gulf St. Vincent, near Pine Point at a distance of ~8 km from Ardrossan. The proposed lease site stretches over a distance of 3 km along the coastline and the open pit mine will be located close – within kilometres – from the sea (**Figure 1**).




Figure 1: Proposed Hillside Project Location. The red-bounded area indicates the EML (Extractive Mineral Lease) boundary. Taken from the proponent’s proposal.

2. Scientific Quality of Marine Impact Assessment

The proponent did not undertake any marine dispersal studies as part of their proposal. For a project of this enormous scale, the use of state-of-the-art hydrodynamic modelling tools should be a standard requirement for the assessment of the effects of the dispersal of dust and potential coastal runoff discharges into the marine environment. A clockwise circulation pattern in the gulf, also briefly mentioned in Section 5.11.1 of the proposal, implies a possibly continuous influx of mining-related pollutants into the upper Gulf St. Vincent marine park. The existence of northward flow along the western coast of upper Gulf St. Vincent is confirmed in one of the few oceanographic studies that have been undertaken in the study region (**Figure 2**). The proponent has decided to ignore this flow and its potential detrimental impacts on the marine ecology of the upper Gulf St. Vincent – although there are industry-standard scientific tools that could be and should have been used to address this important point.





Figure 2: Horizontal surface temperature and salinity distributions for early March 1975. Station positions are shown as dots. Arrows indicate the flow direction. The red triangle indicates the EML (Extractive Mineral Lease) boundary of the. Data source: King (unpublished cruise report, 1976). Published in Kämpf (2005).

Despite the proponent acknowledges the ecologic significance of the upper Gulf St. Vincent, the proponent decided to ignore a number of recent oceanographic studies documenting the circulation (Bye and Kämpf, 2005) and the slow flushing of this region (e.g. Kämpf et al., 2009; Kämpf, 2014) . For coastal pollution sources, it greatly matters whether the receiving water body is a rapidly flushed coastal ocean or a sheltered estuarine system.

Flushing time and water age are scientific concepts used to determine the ability of a water body to disperse effluents. Water age is a measure of the relative age of gulf water with reference to the ambient ocean. For instance, a unidirectional flow of 10-20 cm/s through a channel the same length as Gulf St. Vincent (~150 km) would create a water age ranging from zero at the channel's entrance to a maximum of 8-17 days at the channel's exit. Although net water flows in Gulf St. Vincent are of the same magnitude (e.g. Bye and Kämpf, 2006), the water in upper Gulf St. Vincent is substantially older, exceeding 200 days (**Figure 3**). Due to this longer time scale, upper Gulf St. Vincent is much more vulnerable to industrial pollution than coastal oceans.

Indeed, similar to Upper Spencer Gulf, the rich and distinctive marine biodiversity and birdlife of the upper reaches of Gulf St. Vincent could only evolve because of the existence of a hypersaline environment of slow flushing. While the Upper Spencer Gulf is targeted to become the State’s “heavy industry hub”, which does not come without environmental degradation, this proposal is a threat to the ecology of the upper Gulf St. Vincent. Given the dramatic decrease in seagrasses in Adelaide Metropolitan waters, as documented in the Adelaide Coastal Waters Study (Fox et al., 2007), the proposed mining activities pose a severe threat to the health of the remainder seagrass beds in the gulf.



The proponent has neither reviewed nor scientifically explored the physical oceanography of Gulf St. Vincent and its upper reaches. The proponent has decided not to simulate the pathway and dispersal of coastal surface runoff events and the sediment contained in it. The proponent has also decided not to scientifically study the pathway and dispersal of mining-related dust deposition in the marine environment. Consequently, the proponent’s claims in the impact assessment that both factors (ML-A7, ML-SW1,2,3,4) are of minor consequences cannot be scientifically tested and are pure speculations being void of any credible scientific evidence. Hence, the assessment of marine-related consequences presented as part of the proposal does not meet the required scientific standards and should be rejected. Indeed, due to the close vicinity of mining activities, the marine environment must be declared one of the “nearest sensitive receptors”. Figure 8.3-2 of the proposal (reproduced here as **Figure 4**) demonstrates the proponent’s ignorance of the marine environment.




Figure 4: Predicted maximum 24-hour PM₁₀ concentration – including background concentrations with dust emission controls in place. Figure 8.3-2 of the proponent’s proposal.

3. Water Quality Policy

The *Environment Protection (Water Quality) Policy 2003* (Water Quality Policy) has been introduced by the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) to provide a consistent approach to the protection of water quality across all South Australian waters. It encompasses marine, estuarine and inland waters (including underground and surface water), and replaces the *Environment Protection (Marine) Policy 1994* and certain other environment protection policies. The Water Quality Policy covers: water quality objectives (environmental values plus water quality criteria), management and control of point and diffuse sources of pollution obligations relating to particular activities, and water quality criteria, discharge limits and listed pollutants.

For the proposed project, both the locally enhanced air-sea flux of dust and the occasional surface run-off of mining-related pollutants should be legally classified as point-source discharges that must comply with the Water Quality Policy. It should be pointed out that the proponent fails to scientifically demonstrate that such events will comply with regulations set out in the Water Quality Policy, in particular, that 1) adverse marine impacts of pollution events are limited to mixing zones with a radius of less than 100 m, and 2) that there are no risks to the adjacent marine park. Hence, the proponent should be asked to provide this scientific evidence.

4. Increase in Ship Traffic

The proponent proposes to use the Port Ardrossan facility for export purposes of their mining products. According to the proponent, this facility is currently used by Arrium to ship dolomite (approximately 18 ships per year) and Cheetham Salt to ship commercial grade salt (approximately two ships per year). With the propose upgrade of the port, ship traffic would increase from currently 20 ships per year to 76 ships per year. Port Ardrossan is situated within the Upper Gulf St. Vincent marine park and this substantial increase in ship traffic and associated pollution risk, including the potential import of marine pests, poses a severe additional hazard to the marine environment of the adjacent marine park – if not for the entire gulf system.

5. Summary and Recommendations

Copper production is not an environmentally benign activity. From mining and milling through processing to refining, copper production can have significant adverse impacts on air quality, surface and groundwater quality, the land, and, in this case, also the sea. The proponent substantially plays down adverse marine impacts in their environmental impact assessment. In particular, the proponent ignores scientific findings documenting the extremely slow flushing of the upper Gulf St. Vincent. When taking this factor into account, then continuous dust deposition and occasional flood-driven surface runoff of pollutants and sediments into the marine environment indeed poses an extremely high risk of severe environment damage, given the close vicinity of the mine operations to the sea.

If this project goes ahead, substantial negative inferences between mining operations with the marine ecology at a large scale are unavoidable. Hence, from the conservation point of view and given the high likelihood of environmental damage to the upper Gulf St. Vincent ecosystem, the author recommends that this mining proposal be rejected.

The author also strongly recommends that mining-related marine pollution events in terms of both locally enhanced dust deposition and concentrated surface runoff be classified as point-source discharges that must comply with *Environment Protection (Water Quality) Policy 2003*. The implementation of this recommendation implies that, as part of the proposal, the proponent of this and similar mining projects should be obliged to scientifically demonstrate that mining-related point-source discharges satisfy the requirements of the Water Quality Policy at all times.

References

Reprints are available from the author on request.

- Bye, J.A.T. and J. Kämpf (2008) Physical Oceanography. Book chapter in Natural History of Gulf St. Vincent, S. A. Shepherd, S. Bryars, I. Kirkegaard, P. Harbison, and J. T. Jennings (Editors). Adelaide, S. A., Royal Society of South Australia. p. 56-70.
- Fox, D. R., Batley, G. E., Blackburn, D., Bone, Y., Bryars, S., Cheshire, A., Collings, G., Ellis, D., Fairweather, P., Fallowfield, H., Harris, G., Henderson, B., Kämpf, J., Nayar, S., Pattiaratchi, C., Petrushevics, P., Townsend, M., Westphalen, G., and Wilkinson, J. (2007) Adelaide Coastal Waters Study, Final Report – Volume 1, June 2007, Summary of Study Findings, CSIRO.
- Kämpf J. (2006) In-situ field measurements for Adelaide Coastal Waters Study – Final Technical Report. ACWS Technical Report No. 19 prepared for the Adelaide Coastal Waters Study Steering Committee. School of Chemistry, Physics and Earth Sciences, Flinders University, Adelaide. 107 p.
- Kämpf, J., C. Brokensha, and T. Bolton (2009) Hindcasts of the fate of desalination brine in large inverse estuaries: Spencer Gulf and Gulf St. Vincent, South Australia. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 2, 325-333
- Kämpf, J. (2014, in press) South Australia's Large Inverse Estuaries - On the Road to Ruin. Chapter 7 in "Australian Estuaries in 2050 and Beyond", edited by E. Wolanski, Springer, Heidelberg.
- King, M. O. (1976) Water movements in St. Vincent Gulf. Unpublished cruise report. Flinders University.